UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,673	01/06/2006	Atsushi Tanno	OGW-0414	1777
Patrick G. Burn	7590 02/25/200 S	9	EXAM	IINER
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd.			FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
Suite 2500 300 South Wac	ker Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 600	506		1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,673	TANNO, ATSUSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin R. Fischer	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final. llowance except for formal mat	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 10-26 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 10-26 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the complex of the complex o	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in a e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	l8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/563,673 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on November 26, 2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10/564,098 has been received- a decision on said disclaimer will be rendered shortly.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10-26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 7,387,141. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/563,673

Art Unit: 1791

the invention would have found it obvious to form the sound absorbing member with a width between 40 and 90 percent. It is particularly noted that the claims (a) define the sound absorbing layer as having a thickness between 5 and 45 mm and (b) described the inclusion of surface irregularities having a height of 20 mm or less. A fair reading of the language "surface irregularities" suggests the inclusion of protrusions or recesses (which define notches) and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily appreciated the inclusion of such irregularities in the longitudinal direction or the circumferential direction.

Page 3

With respect to the sound absorbing member, the claims generally describe the inclusion of a sound absorbing member over the entire circumferential extent of the tread; however, the claims are completely silent with respect to the axial extent of said sound absorbing member. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily appreciated a wide variety of constructions in view of the general claim language. More particularly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been able to appropriately determine the necessary width of sound absorbing material to achieve the desired low noise characteristics. Lastly, applicant has not provided a conclusive showing of unexpected results to establish a criticality for the claimed axial extent (claimed range appears to define the presence of such a layer without completely occupying the maximum width of the tire).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,673 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Page 3, filed November 26, 2008, with respect to US 10/563,303 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-23 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, with respect to US '141, filed November 26, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As detailed above, the claims of US '141 include surface irregularities and such irregularities can be viewed as the claimed "notches". More particularly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to form such irregularities in the longitudinal direction and/or the circumferential direction (in view of the general disclosure of US '141). Also, in regards to the axial width, US '141 generally suggests the inclusion of a sound absorbing member- one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to use a wide variety of constructions depending on the desired noise characteristics (and the specific tire).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1791

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin R. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) **272-1215**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,673 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Justin Fischer /Justin R Fischer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 February 20, 2009